UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,618	12/05/2007	Tamar Eilam	YOR920030587US1	3559
	7590 01/24/201 BURN LLP-IBM YO	EXAMINER		
20 Church Stree 22nd Floor	et	CHENEY, BOBAE K.		
Hartford, CT 06103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2469	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/587,618	EILAM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	BOBAE K. CHENEY	2469					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 04 January 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff cice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by	out prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ant canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subiliilled iii a separale,	timely filed afficient	ent canceling the				
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,10,13-23,25-37,and 44-47</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
P. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
/lan N. Moore/	/B. K. C./						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2469	Examiner, Art Unit 2469						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant amended claims 1 13, 15, 26, 27, 29, and 44. The new limitation in claims 1 and 15, "the at least one policy for controlling the number of reserve resources available to process requests from the at least one domain and the sub-domain," was not cited in claims 1 and 15 before. The new limitation in claims 13 and 26, "tangibly," was not cited in claims 13 and 26 before. The new limitation in claims 17 and 27, "of public resource pools.. based on an acquisition policy, the acquisition policy determining which resources are added or removed from the public resource pools for all of the different organizations," was not cited in claims 17 and 27 before. The new limitation in claim 29, "for representing... the plurlaity of collectors comprising: one or more root collectors and one or more non-root collectors, said one or more root collectors comprising a public resrouce pool, said one or more non-root collectors comprising a private resource pool, ... plurality... of said plurality of collectors," was not cited in claim 29 before. The new limitation in claim 44, "said Base Resource Distribution Service providing a reservation of resources even when resources are not currently available," was not cited in claim 44 before. Therefore, additional search and cosideration will be necessary.